

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/944,333		Applicant(s) SHETH ET AL.	
	Examiner Virgil Herring		Art Unit 2132	

All Participants:

(1) Virgil Herring.

(2) H. Roy Berkenstock.

Date of Interview: 7 August 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

 Claims discussed:

 Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: Allowed


(3) _____


(4) _____

Time: 9:25 am

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner requested permission for an examiner's amendment to the specification to prepare the case for allowance.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 KAMBIZ ZAND
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)